

Homework Assignment 1

Due: Thursday, May 1, in class.

General remark: whenever you are asked to provide an α -approximation algorithm, you need to prove that your algorithm produces a feasible α -approximate solution.

1. **Greedy algorithms for Job Interval Scheduling.** Recall that in the job interval scheduling problem we have a set J of jobs, and each job $j \in J$ has a release date r_j , a deadline d_j and a processing time p_j . Job j is associated with a set \mathcal{I}_j of time intervals: the set of all length- p_j intervals contained in $[r_j, d_j]$. The goal is to schedule maximum possible number of jobs on one machine. We have shown in class that the following algorithm achieves a factor 2-approximation:

GREEDY

Among all available jobs, choose job j that has an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}_j$ with left-most right endpoint; schedule j on I and discard all job intervals overlapping with I .

A mirror reflection of GREEDY is an algorithm we call GREEDY':

GREEDY'

Among all available jobs, choose job j that has an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}_j$ with right-most left endpoint; schedule j on I and discard all job intervals overlapping with I .

Algorithm GREEDYx2 Runs GREEDY and GREEDY' and returns the better of the two solutions.

- (a) Show that GREEDYx2 achieves a factor 2-approximation.
 - (b) Show that your analysis is asymptotically tight.
2. **Routing in SONET ring.** The following problem arises in telecommunications networks, and is known as the SONET ring loading problem. The network consists of a cycle on n nodes, numbered 0 through $n - 1$ clockwise around the cycle. Some set C of calls is given; each call is a pair (i, j) originating at node i and destined to node j . The call can be routed either clockwise or counterclockwise around the ring. The objective is to route the calls so as to minimize the total load on the network. Let e_1, \dots, e_n denote the edges of the cycle. The load L_i on edge e_i is the number of calls routed through it, and the total load is $\max \{L_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Design a 2-approximation algorithm for the SONET ring loading problem.
 3. **Steiner Tree.** In the directed Steiner Tree problem, we are given a directed edge-weighted graph $G = (V, E)$, a root vertex r and a subset $T \subseteq V$ of vertices called terminals. The goal is to find a minimum-cost subset E' of edges, such that in the graph induced by E' , there is a directed path from r to every terminal in T .
 - (a) Show an approximation-preserving reduction from Set Cover to directed Steiner Tree.

Hint: Given an instance of Set Cover, construct a 3-layered instance of Steiner Tree. First layer contains the root r , second layer contains Steiner vertices and third layer contains terminals. Prove that your reduction is approximation-preserving.

- (b) Recall that there is an $O(\log n)$ -approximation algorithm for Set Cover, and there is no factor- $c \log n$ approximation algorithm (for some constant c) unless $P = NP$. What is the implication of your reduction to the approximability of directed Steiner Tree?

4. **Asymmetric Traveling Salesman Problem.**

- (a) Recall that we have shown in class an $O(\log n)$ -approximation algorithm for the problem using Cycle Covers. Prove that our analysis of this algorithm is asymptotically tight.
- (b) Consider a special case of Asymmetric Traveling Salesman Problem, where all edge weights are either 1 or 2 (recall that from the problem definition, the input graph $G = (V, E)$ is a complete graph, so for each pair u, v of vertices of G , (u, v) and (v, u) belong to E). Design a factor-1.5 approximation algorithm for this problem.
5. **Local Ratio.** Given a weighted Set Cover instance $I = (U, \mathcal{S})$, where U is the set of elements and \mathcal{S} is a collection of subsets of U , the *frequency* of element i is the number of sets in \mathcal{S} containing i . Let f_I denote the frequency of the most frequent element. Show an f_I -approximation algorithm for weighted Set Cover using the Local Ratio technique.

Extra Credit. Consider the following algorithm for the Minimum Steiner Tree problem.

- Find a minimum-weight spanning tree τ of the graph. Root τ at any terminal t .
- While there is a leaf vertex v in the current tree τ , such that v is not a terminal, delete v from τ .
- Return the final tree τ . Notice that every leaf of τ is a terminal.

What is the approximation factor that this algorithm achieves? Show asymptotically matching upper and lower bounds.