Bounded Tree-Width Markov Networks

Nati Srebro

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

Density Estimation

• *T* observations of *n* variables $X_1..X_n$.

• Estimate distribution from which they were sampled.

• Use for inference and other calculations.

Density Estimation, not model selection.

Chow & Liu (1968): Maximum likelihood tree

Weight of an edge = mutual information between endpoints.



(not all weights shown)

Chow & Liu (1968): Maximum likelihood tree

Weight of an edge = mutual information between endpoints.

ML tree is max-weight tree

Chow & Liu (1968): Maximum likelihood tree

Weight of an edge = mutual information between endpoints.



ML tree is max-weight tree

Maximum likelihood Markov network:

Empirical distribution (Markov-net over complete graph)

Bounding the Complexity

• Small clique size.

• Even with small clicks: non-tractable.

 Tree-width of a graph: minimum over all triangulations, of the maximum clique size of the triangulation, minus one.

Problem Statement: ML Narrow Markov Networks

• For a specified *k*, maximum likelihood Markov network of tree-width at most *k*.

• Equivalently, over a triangulated graph with cliques of size at most k+1.

ML Narrow Markov Networks

- *k*=1: Trees (Chow and Liu)
- *k*≥2: Local search heuristics (eg Malvestuto, 1991)

Cast as combinatorial optimization problem:

-Hardness

-Provable "global" optimization algorithms

-Understand structure

 k=1 (trees): ML decomposes to sum of edge weights.

k>1: Would like similar decomposition

 identify the contribution of "*local structures*"

• Edges are not enough: need to consider larger cliques. Factorization Over a Triangulated Graph *G*

$$P_X(x) = \prod_{h \in \text{Cliques}(G)} \varphi_h(x_h)$$

$$\varphi_h(x_h) = \frac{P(x_h)}{\prod_{h' \subset h} \varphi_{h'}(x_{h'})}$$

Product over *all* complete subgraphs, not only over maximal cliques









Factorization Over a Triangulated Graph *G*





Product over *all* complete subgraphs, not only over maximal cliques

- Why not subsume smaller cliques in maximal cliques ?
- Very strong locality: A clique's factor depends *only* on the marginal distribution inside the clique. It does *not* depend on the graph structure.

$$\varphi_h(x_h) = \frac{P(x_h)}{\prod_{h' \subset h} \varphi_{h'}(x_{h'})}$$

(unique factorization having this property)

ML distribution over a Triangulated Graph G $P_X(x) = \qquad \qquad \hat{\varphi}_h(x_h)$ $h \in \text{Cliques}(G)$ $\hat{\varphi}_h(x_h) = \frac{\hat{P}(x_h)}{\prod \hat{\varphi}_{h'}(x_{h'})}$

Product over *all* complete subgraphs, not only over maximal cliques

 $h' \subset h$

Decomposition of ML(G)

 $\log ML(G) = \log \left[\begin{array}{c} \varphi_h(x_h^t) \\ \varphi_h(x_h^t) \end{array} \right]$ $h \in Clique (G)$ t $\sum \operatorname{E}_{\hat{\rho}}[\log \hat{\varphi}_{h}(\mathbf{X}_{h})]$ = T $h \in Clique(G)$ Depends only on the empirical distribution inside clique, independent of the graph.

Decomposition of ML(G)

 $\log ML(G) = \log \left[\int \hat{\varphi}_h(x_h^t) \right]$ $h \in Clique (G)$ t $= T \qquad \sum E_{\hat{\rho}}[\log \hat{\varphi}_h(X_h)]$ $h \in Clique (G)$ $\sum w(h)$ = $h \in Clique(G)$

A property of the variables in the clique. Can be precalculated once, and then summed up in all graphs containing the clique

Decomposition of
$$ML(G)$$

 $\log ML(G) = \sum_{h \in \text{Cliques}(G)} w(h)$
 $= \log ML(\phi) + \sum_{h \in \text{Cliques}(G), |h| > 1} w(h)$
 $\sum_{v} w(\{v\}) = \sum_{v} H(X_v) = \log ML$ of fully independent model

v

Decomposition of ML(G) $\log ML(G) = \sum w(h)$ $h \in \text{Cliques}(G)$ $\sum w(h)$ $= \log ML(\phi) +$ $h \in \text{Cliques}(G), |h| > 1$

Combinatorial optimization problem: triangulated graph *G*, maximizing its clique-weights.

 $w(h) = E_{\hat{\rho}}[\log \hat{\varphi}_h(\mathbf{X}_h)]$ $= \mathbf{E}_{\hat{P}} \left| \log \frac{\hat{P}(x_h)}{\prod_{h' \subset h} \hat{\varphi}_{h'}(x_{h'})} \right|$ $= -H(\hat{P}(h)) - \sum w(h')$ $h' \subset h$

 $w(h) = -\sum (-1)^{|h| - |h'|} H(\hat{P}(h'))$ $h' \subset h$

Weight of a doubleton

$$w(\{u,v\}) = -H(\hat{P}_{\{u,v\}}) - w(u) - w(v)$$

= $-H(\hat{P}_{\{u,v\}}) + H(\hat{P}_{u}) + H(\hat{P}_{v})$
= $I_{\hat{P}}(u;v) \ge 0$

Weight of a triplelton with no pairwise interactions

 $I(X_1;X_2) = I(X_1;X_2) = I(X_1;X_2) = 0$

 $w(X_1, X_2, X_3) = H(X_1) + H(X_2) + H(X_3)$ $-H(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ $= D(\hat{P}_{\{1,2,3\}} \| \hat{P}_1 \cdot \hat{P}_2 \cdot \hat{P}_3) \ge 0$

Weights in a Markov chain



```
w(1,2,3) = H(1,3) - H(1) - H(3)
+ H(1,2) + H(2,3) - H(2) - H(1,2,3)
= -I(1;3) < 0
```

Monotone Weights



Adding to a graph cannot decrease its total weight.

The combinatorial optimization problem

- Given:
 - -a width k,
 - a monotone weight function on candidate
 cliques of size at most *k*+1
- Find a triangulated graph with clique size at most *k*+1 that maximizes the sum of weights of its cliques.

The Maximum Weight k-Hypertree Problem



Junction trees are (roughly) hypertrees

Maximum Hypertrees

• For k=1: essentially linear time [Prim, Kruskal]

• For k>1: NP-hard, even for k=2. (and even with 0/1 weights, and weights only on 2-cliques)

We're not there yet: does not immediately imply hardness of ML narrow Markov nets...



Creating a distribution for w()

- Uniform, except biases on parity of (k+1)-subsets.
- Mixture of $\binom{n}{k+1}$ components, one for each (k+1)-subset.

Now construct sample with this distribution...

Hardness of Max-Hypertree translates to hardness of ML Narrow Markov-net:

• NP-hard.

• NP-hard to approximate within an additive offset.





Hard to approximate gain to within additive offset.

Hard to approximate likelihood to within multiplicative factor





Approximate to within multiplicative factor of gain ? Approximation Algorithm [with David Karger, SODA 2001]

• For any constant k:

Find a triangulated graph G with max clique k+1, such that:

$$w(G) \ge \frac{\max_{\substack{\text{trig } G^*, \text{width} \le k}} w(G^*)}{f(k)}$$



$$f(k) = 8^k k!(k+1)!$$

Running time: polynomial in number of weight, i.e. $n^{O(k)}$

Greedily adding one clique at a time can be arbitrarily bad on certain inputs.





Approximate to within small multiplicative factor ?

-Independent of k?

-Arbitrarily small ?

What are we approximating ? (the distribution projection view)



Can we get approximation on the relative entropy ?

Be very good when the target (true) distribution is almost a Markov network?

 Is there a distribution yielding any monotone weight function ?

• What is the "right" condition on the weight function ?

Summary

- ML Narrow Markov Network problem as a combinatorial optimization problem:
 - Hardness results
 - Analyzable algorithms of *"global"* nature

- "linked" to Max-Hypertree problem

• Weights: an interesting information decomposition.

http://theory.lcs.mit.edu/~natis/HyperTrees/