1 Orthogonality and orthonormality.

**Definition 1.1** Two vectors $u, v$ in an inner product space are said to be orthogonal if $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$. A set of vectors $S \subseteq V$ is said to consist of mutually orthogonal vectors if $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ for all $u \neq v$, $u, v \in S$. A set of $S \subseteq V$ is said to be orthonormal if $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ for all $u \neq v$, $u, v \in S$ and $\|u\| = 1$ for all $u \in S$.

**Proposition 1.2** A set $S \subseteq V \setminus \{0_V\}$ consisting of mutually orthogonal vectors is linearly independent.

**Proposition 1.3** (Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization) Given a finite set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ of linearly independent vectors, there exists a set of orthonormal vectors $\{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$ such that

$$\text{Span} (\{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}) = \text{Span} (\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\})$$

**Proof:** By induction. The case with one vector is trivial. Given the statement for $k$ vectors and orthonormal $\{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$ such that

$$\text{Span} (\{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}) = \text{Span} (\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}),$$

define

$$u_{k+1} = v_{k+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle w_i, v_{k+1} \rangle \cdot w_i \quad \text{and} \quad w_{k+1} = \frac{u_{k+1}}{\|u_{k+1}\|}.$$ 

It is easy to check that the set $\{w_1, \ldots, w_{k+1}\}$ satisfies the required conditions.

**Corollary 1.4** Every finite dimensional inner product space has an orthonormal basis.

In fact, Hilbert spaces also have orthonormal bases (which are countable). The existence of a maximal orthonormal set of vectors can be proved by using Zorn’s lemma, similar to the proof of existence of a Hamel basis for a vector space. However, we still need to prove that a maximal orthonormal set is a basis. This follows because we define the basis
slightly differently for a Hilbert space: instead of allowing only finite linear combinations, we allow infinite ones. The correct way of saying this is that we still think of the span as the set of all finite linear combinations, then we only need that for any $v \in V$, we can get arbitrarily close to $v$ using elements in the span (a converging sequence of finite sums can get arbitrarily close to it’s limit). Thus, we only need that the span is dense in the Hilbert space $V$. However, if the maximal orthonormal set is not dense, then it is possible to show that it cannot be maximal. Such a basis is known as a Hilbert basis.

Let $V$ be a finite dimensional inner product space and let \{w_1, \ldots, w_n\} be an orthonormal basis for $V$. Then for any $v \in V$, there exist $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in F$ such that $v = \sum c_i \cdot w_i$. The coefficients $c_i$ are often called Fourier coefficients. Using the orthonormality and the properties of the inner product, we get $c_i = \langle w_i, v \rangle$. This can be used to prove the following

**Proposition 1.5 (Parseval’s identity)** Let $V$ be a finite dimensional inner product space and let \{w_1, \ldots, w_n\} be an orthonormal basis for $V$. Then, for any $u, v \in V$

\[
\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle w_i, u \rangle \cdot \langle w_i, v \rangle.
\]

2 Adjoint of a linear transformation

**Definition 2.1** Let $V, W$ be inner product spaces over the same field $F$ and let $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. A transformation $\varphi^* : W \rightarrow V$ is called an adjoint of $\varphi$ if

\[
\langle w, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle \varphi^*(w), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W.
\]

**Example 2.2** Let $V = W = \mathbb{C}^n$ with the inner product $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \cdot v_i$. Let $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$ be represented by the matrix $A$. Then $\varphi^*$ is represented by the matrix $A^T$.

**Exercise 2.3** Let $\varphi_{\text{left}} : \text{Fib} \rightarrow \text{Fib}$ be the left shift operator as before, and let $\langle f, g \rangle$ for $f, g \in \text{Fib}$ be defined as $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)g(n)}{C^n}$ for $C > 4$. Find $\varphi_{\text{left}}^*$.

We will prove that every linear transformation has a unique adjoint. However, we first need the following characterization of linear transformations from $V$ to $F$.

**Proposition 2.4 (Riesz Representation Theorem)** Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional inner product space over $F$ and let $\alpha : V \rightarrow F$ be a linear transformation. Then there exists a unique $z \in V$ such that $\alpha(v) = \langle z, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V$.

We only prove the theorem here for finite-dimensional spaces. However, the theorem holds for any Hilbert space.
Proof: Let \( \{w_1, \ldots, w_n\} \) be an orthonormal basis for \( V \). Then check that

\[
z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(w_i) \cdot w_i
\]

must be the unique \( z \) satisfying the required property.

This can be used to prove the following:

Proposition 2.5 Let \( V, W \) be finite dimensional inner product spaces and let \( \varphi : V \to W \) be a linear transformation. Then there exists a unique \( \varphi^* : W \to V \), such that

\[
\langle w, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle \varphi^*(w), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W.
\]

Proof: For each \( w \in W \), the map \( \langle w, \varphi(\cdot) \rangle : V \to \mathbb{F} \) is a linear transformation (check!) and hence there exists a unique \( z_w \in V \) satisfying \( \langle w, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle z_w, v \rangle \) \( \forall v \in V \). Consider the map \( \alpha : W \to V \) defined as \( \alpha(w) = z_w \). By definition of \( \alpha \),

\[
\langle w, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle \alpha(w), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W.
\]

To check that \( \alpha \) is linear, we note that \( \forall v \in V, \forall w_1, w_2 \in W, \)

\[
\langle \alpha(w_1 + w_2), v \rangle = \langle w_1 + w_2, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle w_1, \varphi(v) \rangle + \langle w_2, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle \alpha(w_1), v \rangle + \langle \alpha(w_2), v \rangle,
\]

which implies \( \alpha(w_1 + w_2) = \alpha(w_1) + \alpha(w_2) \) (why?) \( \alpha(c \cdot w) = c \cdot \alpha(w) \) follows similarly.

Note that the above proof only requires the Riesz representation theorem (to define \( z_w \)) and hence also works for Hilbert spaces.