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Abstract

We provide a simple and efficient algorithm for the projection operator for weighted $\ell_1$-norm regularization subject to a sum constraint, together with an elementary proof. The implementation of the proposed algorithm can be downloaded from the author’s homepage.

1 The problem

In this report, we consider the following optimization problem:

$$\min_x \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i |x_i|,$$

s.t. $x^T \mathbf{1} = 1,$

where $y = [y_1, \ldots, y_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $d_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $\mathbf{1}$ is the $n$-dimensional vector consisting of all 1’s. This is a quadratic program and the objective function is strictly convex (even though it is non-smooth), so there is a unique solution which we denote by $x = [x_1, \ldots, x_n]^T$ with a slight abuse of notation.

Notice if $d_1 = \cdots = d_n$ and the constraint were absent, the problem has a closed form solution known as the soft-shrinkage operator (see, e.g., Beck and Teboulle, 2009), which is widely used for solving $\ell_1$-regularized problem in learning sparse representations. But our problem (1) is more involved due to the constraint that couples all dimensions of $x$. Nonetheless, we give an efficient algorithm with time complexity $O(n \log n)$ for this problem using only the KKT theorem.

Remark 1.1. Our motivation for (1) also comes from sparse coding. Yu et al. (2009) propose the local coordinate coding (LCC) algorithm for learning sparse representations induced by locality. Given a data sample $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a set of landmark points $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^C$ where $v_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $j = 1, \ldots, C$, the LCC algorithm reconstructs $u$ from the landmark points while enforcing the faraway landmark points to contribute less than nearby landmark points (or to have smaller reconstruction coefficients). Let the reconstruction coefficient of $v_j$ be $w_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, C$. Then the optimization problem for these coefficients in LCC is

$$\min_w \left\| u - \sum_{j=1}^C w_j v_j \right\|^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^C \|u - v_j\|^2 |w_j|$$

s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^C w_j = 1,$

where $\lambda > 0$ is some trade-off parameter. The constraint in (2) ensures that the representation is translation invariant. There are different ways of solving this problem, e.g., Elhamifar and Vidal (2011) have a similar optimization problem which they solve with Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (Boyd et al., 2011).
One simple way of solving \((2)\) is to use the gradient proximal algorithm and its Nesterov’s acceleration scheme (see Beck and Teboulle, 2009 and the reference therein), where one iteratively takes a short gradient step for the smooth quadratic term and projects the new estimate with the weighted \(\ell_1\) regularization term subject to the sum constraint, where the projection operator solves exactly \((1)\).

## 2 The solution

We solve the problem \((1)\) using only the KKT theorem (Nocedal and Wright, 2006), which states the necessary and sufficient condition\(^1\) satisfied by the solution \(x\). The Lagrangian of \((1)\) is

\[
\mathcal{L}(x, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i |x_i| + \alpha(x^\top 1 - 1),
\]

where \(\alpha\) is the Lagrange multipliers associated with the constraint. And the KKT system of this problem is

\[
\begin{align*}
x_i - y_i + d_i + \alpha &= 0, \quad \text{if } x_i > 0, \quad (4a) \\
x_i - y_i - d_i + \alpha &= 0, \quad \text{if } x_i < 0, \quad (4b) \\
-d_i &\leq -y_i + \alpha \leq d_i, \quad \text{if } x_i = 0, \quad (4c) \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i &= 1, \quad (4d)
\end{align*}
\]

where we have used the fact that the sub-differential of \(|x|\) is \([-1, 1]\) at \(x = 0\) to obtain \((4c)\).

Denote \(y_i^- = y_i - d_i\), \(y_i^+ = y_i + d_i\), \(i = 1, \ldots, n\), which can be computed beforehand. We can then rewrite \((4)\) in terms of \(\alpha:\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha &< y_i^- \iff x_i > 0, \quad (5a) \\
\alpha &> y_i^+ \iff x_i < 0, \quad (5b) \\
y_i^- &\leq \alpha \leq y_i^+ \iff x_i = 0, \quad (5c) \\
\sum_{i: x_i > 0} (y_i^- - \alpha) + \sum_{i: x_i < 0} (y_i^+ - \alpha) &= 1. \quad (5d)
\end{align*}
\]

Obviously, the Lagrange multiplier \(\alpha\) is the key to our problem. Once the value of \(\alpha\) is determined, we can easily obtain the optimal solution by setting

\[
\begin{align*}
x_i &= y_i^- - \alpha &\text{if } y_i^- > \alpha, \quad (6a) \\
x_i &= y_i^+ - \alpha &\text{if } y_i^+ < \alpha, \quad (6b) \\
x_i &= 0 &\text{otherwise.} \quad (6c)
\end{align*}
\]

We can sort all dimensions of \(y_i^-\) and \(y_i^+\) together (a total of \(2N\) scalars) into an ascending \(z\)-sequence:

\[z_1 \leq z_2 \leq \cdots \leq z_{2N}.
\]

An important observation is that the \(z\)-sequence partitions the real axis into \(4N + 1\) disjoint sets, each being either a single point set \(\{z_j\}\), \(j = 1, \ldots, 2N\) or an open interval of the form \((-\infty, z_1), (z_j, z_{j+1}), j = 1, \ldots, 2N - 1,\) or \((z_{2N}, \infty)\) and the Lagrange multiplier \(\alpha\) for the solution must lie in one of them.

We then test each of the \(4N + 1\) sets as follows. Assuming that \(\alpha\) lies in one set, we can use \((5a)\)–\((5c)\) to conjecture the positive, negative, and zero dimensions of a possible solution \(\hat{x}\). After that, we use \((5d)\) to compute a hypothesized value \(\hat{\alpha}\) for the Lagrange multiplier, i.e.,

\[
\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{i: \hat{x}_i > 0} y_i^- + \sum_{i: \hat{x}_i < 0} y_i^+ - 1}{\sum_{i: \hat{x}_i > 0} 1 + \sum_{i: \hat{x}_i < 0} 1}.
\]

\(^1\)Strictly speaking, our objective is convex and non-smooth, so the condition is that the zero vector \(0\) lies in the sub-differential at the solution \(x\).
If the computed $\hat{\alpha}$ indeed lies in the assumed set (a point or an open interval), we have a KKT point and thus the solution.

Since the problem (1) is strictly convex and there exists a unique global optimum, this procedure will find the exact solution with no more than $4N + 1$ tests. We can do this efficiently by sorting $y_i^-$ and $y_i^+$ separately ($O(n \log n)$ operations) and gradually merging the two sorted sequences (an $O(n)$ operation). Therefore the total cost of our procedure for solving (1) is of order $O(n \log n)$.

Algorithm 1 gives the detailed pseudocode for solving (1), whose MATLAB and C++ implementation can be downloaded at https://eng.ucmerced.edu/people/wwang5.
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Algorithm 1 Pseudo-code of our projection operator for (1).

Input: $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $d = [d_1, \ldots, d_n]$ where $d_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Sort $y - d$ into $y^-$: $y^-_1 \leq y^-_2 \leq \cdots \leq y^-_n$. And sort $y + d$ into $y^+$: $y^+_1 \leq y^+_2 \leq \cdots \leq y^+_n$.

$i \leftarrow 1$, $j \leftarrow 1$

while true do

% $s_1/s_2$ stores the sum of dimensions of $y^-/y^+$ that will be merged next.
$s_1 \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} y^-_i$, $s_2 \leftarrow 0$, $t \leftarrow n$

if $(s_1 + s_2) < t \cdot y^-_i$ then

% $\alpha$ is the number of nonzero dimensions of the hypothesized $x$.
$\alpha \leftarrow (s_1 + s_2)/t$; return

end if

while true do

% Test a single point set.
if $y^-_i < y^+_j$ then

% $y^-_i$ is the next value in the $z$-sequence.
$k \leftarrow i$

while ($y^-_k = y^-_i$) && ($k \leq n$) do

% Skip the contiguous block of identical dimensions in $y^-$.
$s_1 \leftarrow s_1 - y^-_k$, $t \leftarrow t - 1$, $k \leftarrow k + 1$

end while

if $(s_1 + s_2 - 1) = t \cdot y^-_i$ then

% $\alpha$ happens to lie in a single point set.
$\alpha \leftarrow y^-_i$; return

else

% Otherwise, $\alpha$ lies in a open interval with left boundary $left$.
$left \leftarrow y^-_i$, $i \leftarrow k$

end if

else if $y^-_i > y^+_j$ then

% $y^+_j$ is the next value in the $z$-sequence.

if $(s_1 + s_2 - 1) = t \cdot y^+_j$ then

% $\alpha$ happens to lie in a single point set.
$\alpha \leftarrow y^+_j$; return

else

% Otherwise, $\alpha$ lies in a open interval with left boundary $left$.
$left \leftarrow y^+_j$, $i \leftarrow k$

end if

else

$k \leftarrow i$

while ($y^-_k = y^-_i$) && ($k \leq n$) do

% Skip the contiguous block of identical entries in $y^-$.
$s_1 \leftarrow s_1 - y^-_k$, $t \leftarrow t - 1$, $k \leftarrow k + 1$

end while

if $(s_1 + s_2 - 1) = t \cdot y^-_i$ then

% $\alpha$ happens to lie in a single point set.
$\alpha \leftarrow y^-_i$; return

else

% Otherwise, $\alpha$ lies in a open interval with left boundary $left$.
$left \leftarrow y^-_i$, $i \leftarrow k$

end if

end if

end if

% Find the right boundary of the open interval and test if it contains $\alpha$.
if $y^-_i < y^+_j$ then

% $y^-_i$ is the next value in the $z$-sequence.
$right \leftarrow y^-_i$

else

% $y^+_j$ is the next value in the $z$-sequence.
$right \leftarrow y^+_j$

end if

if $t \cdot left < (s_1 + s_2 - 1) \&\& t \cdot right > (s_1 + s_2 - 1)$ then

% $\alpha$ lies in the open interval $(left, right)$.
$\alpha \leftarrow (s_1 + s_2 - 1)/t$; return

end if

end while

Output: $\alpha$ is the Lagrange multiplier of the problem (1), use (6) to obtain $x$. 