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#### Abstract

We present a new short combinatorial proof of the sufficiency part of the well-known Kuratowski's graph planarity criterion. The main steps are to prove that for a minor minimal non-planar graph $G$ and any edge $x y$ : (1) $G-x-y$ does not contain $\theta$-subgraph; (2) $G-x-y$ is homeomorphic to the circle; (3) $G$ is either $K_{5}$ or $K_{\{3,3\}}$. (C) 1997 John Wiley \& Sons, Inc.


In 1930, K. Kuratowski published his well-known graph planarity criterion [1]: a graph is planar if and only if it does not contain a subgraph, homeomorphic to either $K_{5}$ or $K_{\{3,3\}}$. Since then, many new and shorter proofs of this criterion appeared [2]. In this paper we present a short combinatorial proof of the 'if'"part. It is based on contracting edge, similar to that of [2, section 5], but we avoid the reduction to 3 -connected graphs. By $\theta$-subgraph we mean a subgraph homeomorphic to $K_{\{3,2\}}$.

Consider a minor minimal non-planar graph $G$.

Lemma 1. If $x y \in E(G)$, then $G$-x-y does not contain a $\theta$-subgraph.
Proof. Suppose not. Consider an embedding of $G / x y$ in the plane. Let $G^{\prime}=G-x-y=$ $(G / x y)-(x y)$. Let $F$ be the subgraph of $G^{\prime}$ bounding the face of $G^{\prime}$ containing the deleted vertex $x y$ of $G / x y$. Then $F$ cannot contain a $\theta$-subgraph [2, section 1]. But since $G^{\prime}$ does, there is an edge $e$ in $E\left(G^{\prime}\right)-E(F)$. Since for each forest $T \subseteq R^{2}, R^{2}-T$ is connected, $F$ contains a cycle


FIGURE 1.
$C$ about which we can assume that its exterior contains $e$ and that its interior contains the deleted vertex $x y$. It is clear that no pair of vertices on $C$ is connected by a path in $G^{\prime}-E(C)-E$ (ext $C$ ). This means that in an embedding of $G$-ext $C$, which exists by the minimality of $G, C$ may be assumed to be the outer boundary. This embedding can then be combined with the restriction of that of $G / x y$ to $G^{\prime}$, which contradicts the non-planarity of $G$.

Lemma 2. If $x y \in E(G)$, then $G-x-y$ does not have two vertices of degree one.
Proof. If $u, v$ are such vertices, then by minimality of $G$, they are both of degree more than 2 in $G$ and hence adjacent to $x$ and $y$. By Lemma 1, there is no edge disjoint from $x, y, u, v$ in $G$ since these vertices contain a $\theta$-subgraph. But each vertex in $G-x-y-u-v$ is of degree more than two and hence joined to at least three among $u, v, x, y$. Since $u$ and $v$ are of degree three in $G$, in $G$ there are at most two vertices besides the $x, y, u, v$ and hence $G$ is one of the graphs in Figure 1. The cases are determined by whether, in $G-x-y, u$ and $v$ are adjacent, have a common neighbor or have distinct neighbors. All of them are planar.

Lemma 3. If $x y \in E(G)$, then $G-x-y$ is a cycle.
Proof. Let $G^{\prime}=G-x-y$. Then every block of $G^{\prime}$ is either a cycle or just an edge (by Lemma 1). If $G^{\prime}$ is not a cycle, it has at least two end blocks (as it cannot be an edge). By Lemma 2, one of them is a cycle; denote it by $C$. There is a unique cut vertex $v$ of $G^{\prime}$ contained in $C$. All vertices of $C-v$ are adjacent to $x$ or $y$ (since their degree is more than two).

Since there are not less than two such vertices, we have a $\theta$-subgraph. Hence no edge is disjoint from it by Lemma 1. Also there are no isolated vertices in $G^{\prime}$ (since they are most of degree two in $G$, which contradicts the minimality of $G$ ). Therefore all other blocks of $G$ are just edges at $v$. By Lemma 2, there is just one. Since $G$ - (the endpoints of this edge) does not contain a $\theta$-subgraph, $G$ is the 3-prism, which is planar.

Proof of the Criterion. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}$ be two adjacent vertices of a minor minimal non-planar graph $G$. If a point $u \in G=G-x_{1}-x_{2}$ is connected to $x_{i}$ but not connected to $x_{(3-i)}$, then the point $v$, next to $u$ along $G^{\prime}$, is not connected to $x_{i}$ (for otherwise, $G$ - $\left(v x_{i}\right)$ is planar by the minimality of $G$ and we can add $v x_{i}$ to a planar embedding of $G$ - $v x_{i}$ to get a planar embedding of $G$. Therefore either every point of $G^{\prime}$ is connected to both $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ or the points of $G^{\prime}$, connected to $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ alternate along $G^{\prime}$. In the first case $G$ contains a subdivision of $K_{5}$, in the second, it contains a subdivision of $K_{\{3,3\}}$.
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