

UNSUPERVISED LEARNING OF ACOUSTIC FEATURES VIA DEEP CANONICAL CORRELATION ANALYSIS Weiran Wang¹ **Raman Arora**² Karen Livescu¹ Jeff A. Bilmes³

Overview

Background

- -Can we learn better acoustic features if we have access to multi-view data external to recognizer training/test data?
- -Here the views are acoustics and articulation.
- -Learned transformations are applied to acoustics-only data for recognizer training and testing.
- Previous work: Improved recognition with transformations learned via canonical correlation analysis (CCA) and kernel CCA [1,2].
- -Intuition:
- $*2^{nd}$ view helps isolate signal from noise.
- * Like articulatory inversion, but using latent articulatory space.

• This work

- -We apply deep CCA (DCCA), where the feature mapping is a deep neural network (DNN) [3].
- -DCCA significantly improves phone recognition, without access to test speakers' articulatory data.
- -New stochastic optimization algorithm for large-scale DCCA.

Stochastic Optimization

- DCCA objective is a constrained loss that does not decompose over the training samples \Rightarrow not a good fit for stochastic gradient descent, but batch training is very slow.
- We use a minibatch stochastic approach with large minibatches for stable estimates of covariance matrices and gradient.



Learning curves (total correlation vs. training time) of DCCA on the 'JW11' set of [3]. Maximum correlation is the projection dimensionality (L = 112).

"STO n" = stochastic optimization with minibatch size n.

¹TTI-Chicago

²Johns Hopkins University



Experimental Results

- **Data:** Wisconsin X-ray Microbeam Database, 47 speakers with ~ 50 utterances each, divided into 35/8/2/2 for feature learning/ASR train/tune/test. 6-fold cross-validation for ASR. Acoustic input: 13 MFCCs + $\Delta + \Delta \Delta \times 7$ frames (273D). Articulatory input: x, y displacements of 8 pellets \times 7 frames
- (112D) + per-speaker mean/variance normalization.



- DCCA is the best performer in all folds. All DCCA improvements over other feature types are significant at p < 0.05.
- Code is available from http://ttic.edu/livescu

³University of Washington

Phone error rates using different feature transformations in a tandem recognizer.

Each color denotes a fold. Horizontal bars give the average PER over folds.

AI = articulatory inversion; DNN = supervised DNN features learned from ASR training data.

- measurements (View 2).
- $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}) = [\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_N)], \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{Y}) = [\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}_1), \dots, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}_N)].$

s.t.
$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{U},\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W}_{1},\mathbf{W}_{2}} & \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{U}^{\top}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{G}^{\top}\right) \\ \mathbf{V}^{\top}\left(\frac{1}{N}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}^{\top}+r_{x}I\right)\mathbf{U} \\ \mathbf{V}^{\top}\left(\frac{1}{N}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^{\top}+r_{y}I\right)\mathbf{V} \end{aligned}$$

- CCA variants
- -Linear CCA (CCA): f(x) = x and g(y) = y.
- -Deep CCA (DCCA): f and g are outputs of DNNs.
- Final features: $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$.

Conclusions

- ticulatory inversion, and supervised DNN features.
- of articulation is not important or useful.

References

[1] Bharadwaj, Arora, Livescu, and Hasegawa-Johnson. Multi-view acoustic feature learning using articulatory measurements. IWSML 2012. [2] Arora and Livescu. Multi-view CCA-based acoustic features for phonetic recognition across speakers and domains. ICASSP 2013. [3] Andrew, Arora, Livescu, and Bilmes. Deep canonical correlation analysis. ICML 2013. [4] Arora and Livescu. Multi-view learning with supervision for transformed bottleneck features. ICASSP 2014.



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Presented by Sadaoki Furui

Deep Canonical Correlation Analysis

• Training data: $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ where $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{D_x}$ and $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{D_y}$ are input features for i^{th} frame. Here x = acoustics (View 1) and y = articulatory

• Feature mappings: $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^{D_x} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_x}$ and $\mathbf{g} : \mathbb{R}^{D_y} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$, optionally parameterized by W_1 , W_2 , for View 1 and View 2 respectively. Let • CCA objective: Find linear projections $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_x \times L}$ and $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_y \times L}$, and optionally parameters of f, g, with maximal canonical correlation:



where r_x, r_y are regularization coefficients for covariance estimation.

-Kernel CCA (KCCA): f/g are feature maps induced by kernels k_x/k_y .

• For fixed f, g, optimal (U, V) given via singular value decomposition.

• DCCA significantly improves over previous multi-view methods, ar-

• Improvement over articulatory inversion suggests predicting details

• Stochastic optimization allows DCCA to scale well to large data.

• Future work: Apply to hybrid ASR, new domains; incorporate supervision [4]; further analysis of stochastic training and network types